

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,264	11/03/2003	John Byrd	18525-04052	3693	
24024	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			YAO, LEI		
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELANI	CLEVELAND, OH 44114			1642	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/700,264	BYRD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lei Yao, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	lovember 2003					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
	4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
o) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · — —	l Stage			
application from the International Burea	·	ii received iii tiiis Nationa	i Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	At received				
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies he	ic reserved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	· (0.152)			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:		U-102)			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,264

Art Unit: 1642

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 2-15, drawn to a method for predicting a patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia the refractory to treatment with rituximab by analyzing the cell having abnormalities of deletion in chromosome by FISH and a diagnosis kit, classified in class 435, subclass 6 and class 436, subclass 64...
  - II. Claims 2-12, and 16-22, drawn to a method for predicting a patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia the **respond to treatment** with **rituximab** by analyzing the cell having abnormalities of deletion in chromosome by FISH and a diagnosis kit,, classified in class 435, subclass 6 and class 436, subclass 64.
  - III. Claims 23-30, drawn to a method for predicting a patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia the **respond to treatment** with **alemtuzumab** by analyzing the cell having abnormalities of deletion in chromosome by FISH, classified in class 435, subclass 6 and class 436, subclass 64.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1 upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/ are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no

Art Unit: 1642

longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Invention I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention I, III, and IV are unrelated because each invention have different treatment effect and have different modes of operation.

Therefore, each invention may need different patient population and biological samples from different patients. Invention I is method for predicting a patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia the refractory to treatment with rituximab, it needs to obtain a biological sample from a cancer patient with refraction to the treatment. Invention II is a method of predicting a patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia the response to treatment with rituximab, it needs to obtain a sample form the patient, who respond to the treatment and invention III is method for predicting a patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia the refraction to a treatment with alemtuzumab, the method requires a sample from a patient treated with alemtuzumab.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. 804.01.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lei Yao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-3112. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4.30pm Monday to Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or file papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Kim Downing for Art Unit 1642 whose telephone number is 571-272-0521

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lei Yao, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

LY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

149/04